

EFFECT OF SURGICAL MANIPULATION TO MORPHOMETRIC DEVELOPMENT OF FACE AND JAW IN PATIENTS WITH CONGENITAL LIP AND PALATE SPLITS

Kambarova Sh. A.

Bukhara State Medical Institute

✓ *Resume*

The article presents the results of maxillofacial morphometry after surgery in patients with congenital cleft lip and palate.

Patients were divided into two groups. The results of the two groups were compared. Proportions of morphometric parameters of maxillofacial region in patients with congenital cleft lip and palate and relative changes in compliance with control group of healthy children were studied. The more perfect and age-appropriate surgical procedures in patients with congenital cleft lip and palate, the more likely it is that the morphometric parameters of the maxillofacial region will comply with the "Golden Section" principle and reduce the rehabilitation period.

Keywords: nasolabial angle, statistical significance, basal part, lower jaw, upper jaw, cephalometric analysis, lip and palate defect.

ВЛИЯНИЕ ХИРУРГИЧЕСКОГО ПОДХОДА К МОРФОМЕТРИЧЕСКОМУ РАЗВИТИЮ ЛИЦА И ЧЕЛЮСТИ У ДЕТЕЙ С ВРОЖДЕННЫМИ РАСЩЕЛИНАМИ ГУБЫ И НЁБА

Камбарова Ш. А.

Бухарский государственный медицинский институт

✓ *Резюме*

В статье представлены результаты морфометрии челюстно-лицевой области после операции у пациентов с врожденной расщелиной губы и неба.

Пациенты были разделены на две группы. Сравнивались результаты двух групп. Изучены пропорции морфометрических параметров челюстно-лицевой области у пациентов с врожденной расщелиной губы и неба и относительные изменения в соответствии контрольной группе здоровых детей. Чем более совершенны и соответствуют возрасту хирургические процедуры у пациентов с врожденной расщелиной губы и неба, тем больше вероятность того, что морфометрические параметры челюстно-лицевой области будут соответствовать принципу «Золотого сечения» и уменьшит срок реабилитации.

Ключевые слова: носогубной угол, статистическая значимость, базальная часть, нижняя челюсть, верхняя челюсть, цефалометрический анализ, дефект губы и неба.

TUG'MA LAB VA TANGLAY NUQSONI MAVJUD BO'LGAN BEMORLARDA JARROXLIK YONDASHUVINING YUZ-JAG' SOHASINING MORFOMETRIK O'SISHIGA BO'LGAN TA'SIRI

Kambarova S. A.

Buxoro Davlat tibbiyot instituti

✓ *Rezyume*

Ushbu maqolada tug'ma lab va tanglay yorig'i bor bemorlarning jarroxlik amaliyotlaridan keyingi yuz-jag' sohasining morfometrik natijalari keltirilgan.

Bemorlar ikki guruxlarga bo'lingan. Ikki guruhning natijalari takkoslashirildi. tug'ma lab va tanglay yorig'i bor bemorlarning yuz-jag' sohasining morfometrik parametrlarning nisbati va sog'lom bolalardan iborat bo'lgan nazorat guruhi bilan nisbiy o'zgarishlari o'rganildi.

Jarroxlik amaliyotlari tug'ma lab va tanglay yorig'i bor bemorlarda qanchalik mukammal va yoshga nisbatan vaqtida amalga oshirilsa, yuz-jag' sohasining morfometrik parametrlari «Oltin nisbat» parametrlariga mosligi va shu bilan bemorlarning rehabilitatsiya muddatini qisqartirishga erishish mumkinligi ko'rsaitadi.

Kalit so'zlar: burun lab burchagi, statistik ahamiyat, bazal qism, pastki jag', yuqori jag', sefalometrik tahlil, lab va tanglay nuqsoni.

Relevance

According to various authors, the number of newborns with severe cleft has increased, which include congenital bilateral complete cleft of the upper lip, alveolar ridge and palate. It occurs in 12-25% of cases among other forms of cleft face. Patients have severe anatomical and functional disorders, which cause a low quality of life for children and their parents. The appearance of the child causes significant stress for the parents. Parents try not to show their child to others, avoid contact with relatives, and some refuse such children.

For effective complex treatment of children with congenital clefts of the lip and palate (CRGN), it is necessary to periodically study the dynamics of growth of the jaw segments [1]. The development and growth of the nose and upper jaw complex in patients with ERHN is a widely discussed topic in any surgical procedure. Studies by Graber [2] reported three-dimensional changes in the upper jaw in patients with complete lip and palate defects, as well as in patients after surgery. The patients showed a tendency to misalignment of the bite, intersection of the anterior and posterior bites, and defects in the midline of the face. Two factors have been shown to cause abnormal facial morphology in patients with CRHN undergoing surgery: the internal development of the defect and iatrogenic factors resulting from treatment. Bishara [3] reported that the deformity of the upper jaw in patients with ERH was caused by intrinsic factors, but most authors noted that the factors of the inner jaw were secondary to surgical interventions [4,6]. If the deformity of the upper jaw is a complication of surgery, it is important to determine the optimal duration and conditions of treatment in order to close the lip and palate defects.

In the early periods, cheiloplasty is performed to improve the feeding of the baby, since his sucking function is destroyed, and most specialists pay attention to the restoration of this function, but the scars after this operation can interfere with the development of the upper jaw, which itself leads to underdevelopment of the lower jaw. To study the influence of the iatrogenic factor on the growth of the face and jaws, a morphometric study was carried out in patients with CRHN. For the study, a special compass, a gnatometer, and a meter tape were used. Determination of the cause of growth retardation in patients with RHGH has been the subject of a large number of studies, and the current initial consensus is that the iatrogenic effect of surgery is a relatively important factor

[7]. In patients with ERHN, a slight increase in the upper jaw was observed before surgery, which led to greater attention to the study of iatrogenic factors.

According to some authors, the main factor in inhibition of growth is the operation performed on the palate [8]. In patients with palatal defects, the mean is usually taken into account in the criteria for assessing growth, and then correlated with other patients with palate and palate defects or with a normal population [9-10]. It is important to report the mean of treatment outcomes, but it is relatively difficult to assess individual variability. Changes in development have been noted by many authors [11-12]. These changes can depend on the type of fracture and its complexity. To date, it has not been established whether surgery actually restricts the growth of the upper and lower jaw. Therefore, in order to evaluate the effectiveness of surgical interventions on the growth of the cranial and facial regions with defects of the lips and palate, we conducted a study on patients with CRHN.

Purpose of the study: to study the influence of the surgical approach to the morphometric development of the face and jaw in children with congenital clefts of the lip and palate.

Material and methods

The author carried out a scientific study at the Department of Surgical Dentistry of the Belarusian State Medical Institute in Bukhara and selected patients who applied to the BMMC with ARGN. 20 patients (14 boys, 6 girls, age: 5.6 ± 2) were divided into 2 groups. The 1st group consisted of 10 people (9 boys and 1 girl) with a third-class ARGN, based on average age. The average crack size was 5.3 ± 2.3 mm. Group 2 (control group (CG)) included 10 patients with defects (9 girls, 1 boy) of the first class. The parents of the patients were informed about the surgical procedure and research that needed to be carried out and their consent was obtained. In patients, the wall of the retropositive and laterally displaced. The cross section of the segment moved forward without compression. The cleft of the alveoli is facing up. Nasal congestion is seen in several patients. The base of the wing of the nose is retropositive in the area of the slit and is defective. The alveolar gap is shifted to the side, anterior wall and axillary cavity of the upper jaw are visible. All patients underwent cheiloplasty according to the Millard method - I.A. Kozina and palatal plastic according to the

Frolova-Makhkamova method (soft palate after 3 months, lip and palate after 6 months). Cephalometric measurements were performed at the age of 6–7 years. A comprehensive cephalometric analysis was performed to measure various growth parameters of the head and face region. Linear and angular measurements were used, which were recorded on an individual map. An individual patient record with all information has been developed. All data were analyzed and primary normal measurements were obtained. The data obtained in addition to the analysis of the mean standard deviation showed statistically significant associations and a 95% confidence interval. $P < 0.05$ ($P > 0.05$) was taken into account. All measurements were repeated 6 weeks after the initial measurements to detect errors associated with linear measurements. Analysis of repeated measurements did not reveal any differences between them.

Result and discussion

Measurements were obtained from patients with RHGN and compared with participants in the CG. The perpendicularity of the nasion and the height of the face to point A was higher in patients with ERHN than in CG participants ($P = 0.088$) ($P = 0.778$). The values of the measurements of the remaining variables in the CG participants than in the patients with ARGN. The only differences are the length of the upper jaw (condil - point A) ($P = 0.026$), the length of the lower jaw (condylion-gnation) ($P = 0.045$), the upper 1 point ($P = 0.001$), the lower 1 point A to the chase ($P = 0.038$), upper lip thickness ($P < 0.001$) and upper lip deformity ($P < 0.001$) are statistically significant. There was no correlation between fracture size and its effect on maxillary retrusion.

In this study, the angle of the nasolabial fold was analyzed relative to CG participants in analog patients. In the group of patients with ERHN, low angles of the nasolabial fold were observed, and the lack of muscle development caused deformation of the lips and palate as a result of their flattening and curvature towards the fracture. These deformations are usually accompanied by a downward displacement of the collumella point near the lips [13]. In patients with ERH, this angle is more acute, but does not correspond to 90–120°. The absence of a visible difference between patients with RVGN and CG can lead to deformation and retraction of the upper lip due to reconstructive surgery or retraction of the upper incisors and flattening of the subnasal region [14]. Other studies have observed that nasal deformities in patients correlate with diastasis between lip fragments and that the alveolar swelling of the

upper jaw is located in different positions. However, other studies have shown that the convexity of the upper jaw is smoothed, which leads to lower values of the angle A [15]. Other studies have confirmed the retrusion of high incisors in patients with CRHN [16]. In patients with ERGN, the distance between the incisors of the upper jaw (TM) is relatively small, CG, which, in turn, can lead to a large differentiation of the nasolabial angle. Orthodontic treatment should be individualized, since a short nasolabial space can cause tooth extraction in the HF arch, but at the same time, other types of anomalies are observed in the bite of patients with RVGN compared to CG. The increase in HF (decrease in the length of the condiliuma point) is relatively limited in CG in patients with ERHN. Midface hypoplasia in patients with CRHN may result from surgery. Postoperative scars interfere with normal HF growth [17]. During the operation, the bone tissue is not injured, but fibrous scars in the soft tissues reconstruct the HF growth and it grows downward and forward. Depending on the size and shape of the crack in the area of the palate and lips, tissue mobilization occurs, therefore, the larger the crack, the larger the scar and the slower the upper jaw grows. Comparison of the two groups revealed a statistically significant difference in the length of the mandible (LF) (condylion - gnation). In other words, the condition and length of the NP were significantly influenced by the surgical procedures performed. These results are similar to the previous results [15]. The data indicate that the LF is relatively lagging behind, although it has a normal length. The retroposition of the jaw in this position may be a functional response to changes in the LF complex as a result of its rotation, in which the muscle in it is remodeled and attached to the gonial region (the lower jaw is indicated by the angle and flexion of the region).

An increase in the vertical tendency of HF growth was found when measuring the height of the face in patients with ERH. The lower anterior angle of the face height was increased compared to the CG patients. The results of such analyzes have already been shown by other authors [3,20,21]. An increase in the front of the facial angle may be associated with active growth of the front of the face or passive growth of the back of the face. Clinical research is needed to develop specific treatment protocols that interfere with the normal growth and development of HF in patients with CRHN.

In this study, an increase in the height of the anterior lower part of the face resulted in the LF being in the back [18]. In the surgical practice of Dehler, it was found that the patients had a small

size and relatively behind the NP, and at the same time he eliminated the defects of the lips and palate. This has been shown to improve the patient's pronunciation, but is thought to be the cause of the relatively underdeveloped upper jaw. The morphological status of LF was determined in patients with RHF who underwent surgery, and when measuring the height of the face in patients with RHF, an increase in the tendency of the vertical growth of HF was found. The lower anterior angle of the face height was increased compared to the CG patients. The results of such analyzes have already been previously shown by other authors [4,20,21]. Front face enlargement may be associated with active front face growth or passive back face growth [22]. She developed specific protocols for the treatment of factors that interfere with normal growth and development of HF in patients and there was no difference in the statistical analysis between the two groups compared to patients with ARVH who did not have the necessary practice to continue clinical trials. It was noted that the growth of the lower jaw does not depend on the method of surgery. NP is not directly related to cracks, but there may be changes in the structure of NP growth due to damage to NP itself and the functional factors present.

Changes were assessed for HF and LF, where the incisors in the LF dentition were relatively retro clinical and retrusive compared to control values. The anterior cruciate ligament is more common in patients with congenital cleft palate. After surgical procedures, it was found that the incisors were similar to those in the control group. Intensive lip plastic surgery can cause retroclination of the incisors in the upper part, but has nothing to do with the growth of the midface. The NP was found to be relatively vertical compared to the control group in the dentition, possibly due to pressure on the lower lip during swallowing and the patient's desire to achieve a flat mouth. The two groups showed significant differences in deformity and change in upper lip thickness. The upper lip ratio improved in the WRGN group. In the CG group, the thickness of the upper lip increased, and in the group with WRGN, this indicator changed significantly. Numerous studies have shown that changes not only in the front teeth, but also in lip tension, muscle tone and lip thickness significantly affect the shape and condition of the lips, especially in patients with CRHN. Hard tissue therapy can alter the response of soft tissue. Patients have consistently hypertrophy of the muscles of the lower lip to achieve bilateral lip closure.

Output

In patients with ERHN, the length of the HF and LF is shorter than in the control group, due to surgical interventions. Deformity and thickness of the upper lip were also less than in the control group, posterior location in the anterior teeth was also found on the HF and LF.

This suggests that surgical procedures performed in patients with ARVH affect the growth of HF and LF, and change the location of the dentition. Operation on the lips and palate affects the growth of the upper and lower jaw, which leads to a lag in the growth effect in the basal part of the jaw.

LIST OF REFERENCES:

1. Graber TM. A study of craniofacial growth and development in the cleft palate child from birth to six years of age. In: *Early Treatment of Cleft Lip and Palate*. Bern: Hans Huber; 1964. p. 30-43.
2. Bishara SE, Krause CJ, Olin WH, Weston D, Ness JV, Felling C. Facial and dental relationships of individuals with unoperated clefts of the lip and/or palate. *Cleft Palate J* 1976; 13:238-52
3. Smahel Z, Betincová L, Müllerová Z, Skvarilová B. Facial growth and development in unilateral complete cleft lip and palate from palate surgery up to adulthood. *J Craniofac Genet Dev Biol* 1993;13:57-71.
5. Capelozza Filho L, Normando AD, da Silva Filho OG. Isolated influences of lip and palate surgery on facial growth: Comparison of operated and unoperated male adults with UCLP. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J* 1996; 33:51-6.
7. Chierici G, Harvold EP, Vargervik K. Morphogenetic experiments in cleft palate: Mandibular response. *Cleft Palate J* 1973; 10:51-61.
8. Ye Z, Xu X, Ahmatjian A, Bing S. The craniofacial morphology in adult patients with unoperated isolated cleft palate. *Bone Res* 2013; 1:195-200.
9. Pai BC, Ko EW, Huang CS, Liou EJ. Symmetry of the nose after presurgical nasoalveolar molding in infants with unilateral cleft lip and palate: A preliminary study. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J* 2005; 42:658-63.
10. Swennen G, Berten JL, Schliephake H, Treutlein C, Dempf R, Malevez C, et al. Midfacial morphology in children with unilateral cleft lip and palate treated by different surgical protocols. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2002; 31:13-22.

11. Rohrich RJ, Love EJ, Byrd HS, Johns DF. Optimal timing of cleft palate closure. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2000; 106:413 21.
12. Peltomäki T, Vendittelli BL, Grayson BH, Cutting CB, Brecht LE. Associations between severity of clefting and maxillary growth in patients with unilateral cleft lip and palate treated with infant orthopedics. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J* 2001; 38:582 6.
13. Schultes G, Gaggl A, Kärcher H. A comparison of growth impairment and orthodontic results in adult patients with clefts of palate and unilateral clefts of lip, palate and alveolus. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2000; 38:26 32.
14. Soboleva I.V. Abstract on the topic: Features of the development of the upper jaw in patients with unilateral cleft lip and palate, depending on the type of early orthopedic and primary surgical treatment. Moscow., 2016 13c.
15. Lisson JA, Hanke I, Tränkmann J. Changes of vertical skeletal morphology in patients with complete unilateral and bilateral cleft lip and palate. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J* 2005; 42:490 4.
16. Timakov I.E. Abstract: Optimization of treatment of children with congenital bilateral complete cleft of the upper lip and palate in the period of bite of milk teeth. Volgograd, 2018 15c.
17. Mars M, Houston WJ. A preliminary study of facial growth and morphology in unoperated male unilateral cleft lip and palate subjects over 13 years of age. *Cleft Palate J* 1990; 27:7 10
18. David A. Mitchell, *An Introduction to Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. Cleft and craniofacial anomaly surgery, 319-323.
19. Stein S, Dunsche A, Gellrich NC, Härle F, Jonas I. One or two stage palate closure in patients with unilateral cleft lip and palate: Comparing cephalometric and occlusal outcomes. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J* 2007; 44:13 22.
20. Fudalej P, Obloj B, Dudkiewicz Z, Hortis Dzierzbicka M. Mandibular morphology and spatial position following one stage simultaneous repair of complete unilateral cleft lip and palate. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J* 2008; 45:272 7.
21. Ortiz Monasterio F, Serrano A, Barrera G, Rodriguez Hoffman H, Vinageras E. A study of untreated adult cleft palate patients. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1966; 38:36 41.
22. DiBiase AT, DiBiase DD, Hay NJ, Sommerlad BC. The relationship between arch dimensions and the 5 year index in the primary dentition of patients with complete UCLP. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J* 2002; 39:635 40.

Entered 09.01. 2021