

Valiyev Erkin Yuldashevich<sup>1</sup>, Yakhoyev Azim Sadilloevich.

<sup>1</sup>Head of the Department of Emergency Traumatology in the Republican Scientific Center of  
Emergency Medical Care.

<sup>2</sup>Assistant of the Department of Traumatology, Bukhara State Medical Institute Traumatologist, in the  
department of Emergency Traumatology of the Republican Scientific Center of Emergency Medical Care  
of the Bukhara branch

✓ *Resume*

*Moreover, this type of injury is characterized by high mortality and disability. The problem of diagnosis and treatment of acetabular fractures has been the subject of many works, at the same time, the currently existing methods of conservative treatment are insufficiently effective and have many disadvantages. In recent years, there has been an increase in car injuries, and the proportion of acetabular fractures continues to grow steadily. There are also shortcomings in the diagnosis of acetabular fractures and there are no clear indications for the use of modern diagnostic methods.*

*Keywords. acetabulum, arthroplasty complications, trauma.*

СОВРЕМЕННЫЕ КЛИНИЧЕСКИЕ ПРОБЛЕМЫ ПОСТТРАВМАТИЧЕСКИХ  
ИЗМЕНЕНИЙ В ТРАВМЕ ВЕРТЛУЖНОЙ ВПАДИНЫ

Валиев Эркин Юлдашевич<sup>1</sup>, Яхёев Азим Садиллоевич<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Руководитель отделения экстренной травматологии в Республиканском научном центре  
экстренной медицинской помощи,

<sup>2</sup>Ассистент кафедры травматологии Бухарского государственного медицинского института, Врач  
травматолог в отделении экстренной травматологии Республиканского научного центра экстренной  
медицинской помощи Бухарского филиала

✓ *Резюме*

*Проблеме диагностики и лечения переломов вертлужной впадины посвящено множество работ, вместе с тем существующие на сегодняшний день методы консервативного лечения являются недостаточно эффективными и обладают множеством недостатков. В последние годы наблюдается рост автотравмы и доля переломов вертлужной впадины продолжает неуклонно увеличиваться. При этом данный вид травмы характеризуется высокой смертностью и инвалидизацией. Также отмечается недостатки в диагностике переломов вертлужной впадины и отсутствуют четкие показания к использованию современных методов диагностики.*

*Ключевые слова. вертлужной впадины, эндопротезирование осложнения, травмы.*

ASETABULUM JAROHATIDA POSTTRAVMATIK O'ZGARISHLARNING HOZIRGI  
KLINIK MUAMMOLARI

Valiyev Erkin Yuldashevich<sup>1</sup>, Yaxyoev Azim Sadilloevich<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Respublika shoshilinch tez tibbiy yordam ilmiy markazi tramatologiya bo'limi boshlig'i

<sup>2</sup>Buxoro davlat tibbiyot instituti travmatologiya kafedrası assistenti, Respublika shoshilinch tez tibbiy  
yordam ilmiy markazi Buxoro filiali travmatologiya bo'limi vrach travmatologi

✓ *Rezyume*

*Asetabulyar sinishlarni tashxislash va davolash muammosi ko'plab ishlarning mavzusi bo'lgan, ammo hozirgi konservativ davo usullari etarli darajada samarali emas va ko'pgina kamchiliklarga ega. So'nggi yillarda avtoulavlarda shikastlanishlar ko'paymoqda va asetabulyar sinishlar nisbati barqaror o'sishda davom etmoqda. Bundan tashqari, ushbu turdagi jarohatlar yuqori o'lim va nogironlik bilan tavsiflanadi. Asetabulyar sinishlarni tashxislashda kamchiliklar ham mavjud va zamonaviy diagnostika usullarini qo'llash uchun aniq ko'rsatmalar mavjud emas.*

*Kalit so'zlar. Asetabulyar sinishlar, endoprotez, asoratlar, jarohat.*

## Relevance

Numerous studies have shown that the proportion of pelvic bone fractures is 1.7-10% among all fractures [3], of which 42 - 71% of injuries occur as a result of road accidents [4]. The second most common source of injuries leading to such damage is an industrial injury in 11-25% [4], and other causes are identified in 4% of cases.

Despite the many scientific studies carried out and a significant number of publications, many issues of providing specialized trauma care to this category of victims continue to remain unresolved and debatable.

According to numerous studies of recent years, various methods of surgical treatment of victims do not allow to effectively combat the emerging complications and achieve good anatomical and functional outcomes. High rates of adverse outcomes force traumatologists to expand indications for surgical methods based on minimally invasive technologies [1].

In recent decades, there has been an increase in the absolute and relative number of pelvic and acetabulum fractures in the structure of injuries, that is, both the total number of fractures (relative number) and the proportion of acetabulum fractures (absolute number) increases [5]. Among the victims, men who are of working age up to 73% predominate. [8].

According to O. S. Buachidze (2003), diagnostic errors occur in 12.6% of patients and most often in patients with multiple injuries.

The frequency of acetabulum fractures has been steadily increasing recently due to an increase in the number of severe injuries, including multiple and combined injuries [3]. With a relatively low specific weight of pelvic bone fractures among all skeletal bone fractures, the presence of a pelvic injury in the victim, and in particular a fracture of the acetabulum, significantly complicates treatment and worsens the prognosis [6]. The previously used conservative method of treatment, in addition to the impossibility of early mobilization of the patient, often does not completely eliminate the displacement of fragments, which in 40-60% of cases leads to unfavorable results [9]. The rapidly developing coxarthrosis dictates the need to use such expensive and time-consuming methods of treatment as hip replacement [7]. In this regard, indications for surgical treatment of acetabulum injuries are increasingly being put forward. This is also due to the introduction and development of such high-tech diagnostic methods as computed tomography (CT). Conducting it

greatly facilitates the determination of therapeutic tactics and planning of surgical treatment [7].

The works of many scientists have been devoted to determining the nature of post-traumatic changes in explosives, the conservative treatment of which does not have a significant risk of complications [2]. The search for rational tactics was carried out taking into account the general principles of treatment of intra-articular fractures: anatomical reposition, stable fixation, early mobilization, late loading [3].

Knight R. (2018), noted the need to restore the loaded BB arch ("weight-bearing vault") [3]. Soon, Rowe S. and Lowell J. (2011), based on the analysis of the treatment of 93 patients, identified factors that affect the result: 1) destruction of the load arch of the BB ("weight-bearing dome" or WBD); 2) incongruence of the femoral head and WBD; 3) instability of the joint TBS in the early or late post-traumatic period; 4) impression fracture of the femoral head [7]. The important role of preserving the VA vault is confirmed in the studies of other authors [10].

Olson S. and Matta J. (2003) developed criteria for selecting patients for conservative treatment, the validity of which was later confirmed by clinical data: 1) the vault of the BB with a depth of up to 10 mm is not damaged; 2) the congruence of the articular surfaces of the hip joint in the projections of Judet R. (2004) is preserved after the removal of skeletal traction; 3) more than 50% of the posterior wall is preserved, the posterior instability of the hip joint is absent [13].

Analyzing the available specialized modern literature, we could not find any evaluation scale that could be applied only to assess the results of treatment of acetabulum injuries [3]. Apparently, this is due to the fact that many experts do not focus on the allocation of the acetabulum into a separate segment, but refer to it as a part of the pelvis, although according to the AO classification, the cavity is a segment number 62 [16]. This approach cannot be considered rational, since the priority functions of the pelvic ring and the acetabulum (support and movement) differ [6]. Of the existing assessment scales and systems (15), most of them provide either an assessment after endoprosthetics, or an assessment in comparison before and after reconstructive operations [15]. Therefore, in our opinion, the most interesting is not the fact of joint replacement itself, but the data on post-traumatic changes in the joints in patients in subsequent years, which lead to total joint replacement. It is this contingent of patients that

can most clearly characterize the results of treatment in the long-term period [1].

According to numerous literature data, the opinions of scientists are contradictory in understanding the causes of the development of such complications of trauma as coxarthrosis and aseptic necrosis of the femoral head (ANGBC). Some believe that after a perfect reposition (less than 1 mm of residual displacement), long-term results are much better than after a bad one (5 mm or more). And if post-traumatic arthrosis occurs, it occurs much later, and it progresses more slowly than after a bad reposition. Others note that this is a situation in which the accuracy of the joint reposition does not seem to correlate with the outcome, which is determined by the severity of the injury: the degree of destruction of anatomical structures and decompensation of the blood supply to the joint. But, one way or another, the frequency of unsatisfactory results remains high. Patients with post-traumatic coxarthrosis make up from 17 to 80 %, with ANGBC due to dislocation make up to 10-26 %. At the outpatient stage, post-traumatic changes of the hip joint are detected in 60-90% of patients, and a third of them need endoprosthetics [8].

Injuries of the acetabulum range from 7 to 25 % in relation to all pelvic fractures [11] and in most cases are the result of high-energy trauma and a component of polytrauma [12]. In recent

decades, there has been an increase in the number of patients who have suffered damage to the acetabulum as a result of road accidents [9]. The consequences of severe pelvic injuries significantly reduce the quality of life and are often the cause of disability [14]. Treatment of patients with traumatic injuries of the acetabulum is a complex orthopedic task. Due to the significant traumatic force characteristic of this type of damage, life-threatening conditions requiring emergency intervention (traumatic shock, damage to internal organs, bleeding) are corrected [9]. There is no consensus on the choice of the time of surgical intervention, the method of reposition, the type of osteosynthesis and surgical access [13]. Transosseous and open-joint osteosynthesis, as well as their combinations, are actively used [3], in some cases it is possible to perform hip replacement in the late post-traumatic period [10]. Even an adequately performed osteosynthesis does not always allow to achieve the desired result.

In most cases, one of the limited anterior or posterior accesses can be used in case of a BB fracture [16]. The use of extended or combined approaches is associated with an increase in intraoperative trauma, surgery time, blood loss, the risk of infectious and neurological complications, the development of TBS contracture and heterotopic ossifications [14].

#### Characteristics of possible surgical approaches for various types of fractures in accordance with the classification of Judet and Letournel (1964)

№	Fracture type	Tile M.	Mayo K.	Matta J.	Jimenez M.
1	Back wall	K-L*	K-L		K-L
2	Back column	K-L	K-L	K-L	K-L
3	Front wall	Л***	11	И	И
4	Front column	И1/И1f	И	И	И/И1f
5	Transverse	K-L	K-L	K-L/11	
6	Back wall and back column	K-L	K-L		
7	Cross and back wall	K-L	K-L	K-L	
8	Front column and rear semi-transverse	И1/И1f	И	11	11
9	T-shaped	K-L/И, K-L + Л****/E-1P*	K-L/И	K-L + 11/E-И1f	K-L/11
10	Fracture of both columns	11/E-И1f/E-Tr	11		И
11	Fracture ten days or more ago, fracture of the ilium with damage to the ilio-sacral joint.			E-И1f	E-И1f/E-Tr

Notes: \* K-L - Kocher-Langenbeck; \*\* E-И1f - Extended iliofemoral; \*\*\* И1 - Ilioinguinal; \*\*\*\* K-L + H - combined access (Kocher-Langenbeck + Ilioinguinal); \*\*\*\*\* E-Tr - Extended triradiate.

However, the reposition of complex fractures with damage to both columns, performed 2, 3 weeks after injury, requires the use of wider access to the area of damage [18].

The anterior ilio-inguinal approach was developed by Letournel E. in the early 60s of the last century [13] to restore damage to the anterior wall, column, pelvic regions located distal to the pectineal eminence. Through three operative windows (lateral, middle, medial), access to the internal iliac fossa, the anterior surface of the ilio-sacral joint, tetrahedral surface, posterior column, superior branch of the pubic bone, symphysis is possible. Reposition of IV fragments begins from the periphery to the articular surface of the IV and ends with provisional stabilization.

#### LIST OF REFERENCES:

1. Danilov IM, Chirkova AM, Makrushin VD, Chegurov OK. Aseptic necrosis of femur head as a complication of its traumatic dislocation. *Bulletin of Traumatology and Orthopedics named after NN. Priorov*. 2001; (1): 24-28. Russian (Данилов И.М., Чиркова А.М., Макрушин В.Д., Чегуров О.К. Асептический некроз головки бедренной кости как осложнение ее травматического вывиха //Вестник травматологии и ортопедии им. Н.Н. Приорова. 2001. № 1. С. 24-28.)
2. Mayo K.A. Open reduction and internal fixation of fractures of the acetabulum. Results in 163 fractures / K.A. Mayo // *Clin. Orthop.* - 1994. - N 305. - P. 31 -37.
3. Lackner R., Casteleyn P.P., Handelbery F. et al. Computerized tomography versus conventional radiography in fractures of the acetabulum // *Acta Orthopaed. Belg.* - 1982. -Vol.48;6:907-913.
4. Lasda N.A., Levinsohn B.M., Yuan H.A. and Burnell W.P. Computerized tomography in disorders of the hip.//*Bone It.Surg.* - 1978;60A;1:8.
5. Letoumel E., Judet R. Fractures of the Acetabulum. - Berlin: Springer-Yerlag, 1981:225-229, 324-326.
6. Letoumel E. Personal Communications, 1981:121-125.
7. Letoumel E. Resultats du traitement chirurgical des fractures du cotyle // *Acta Orthopaed.Belg.* - 1984;50;3:423-433.
8. Иванов М., Башуров З.К., Медведева Н.И., Юрьев П.В., Жабин Г. И., Белый К. П. Особенности лечения больных с повреждениями тазобедренного сустава при множественной и сочетанной травме // Повреждения и заболевания тазобедренного сустава - СПб. 1983;3-7. Оpubл. 25.12.72 Бюл.4:2. № 1629730/31-16; Заявл. 18.03.71.
9. Бабич Б.К. Травмы вывихи и переломы. Киев. Здоровье, 1968; 63-66.
10. Бенабелес М., Украинец В. Переломы вертлужной впадины и их лечение // *Ортопед, травматол.* - 1976;4:10-13.
11. Боброва О.В., Свиридов А.И. Переломы вертлужной впадины и результаты их лечения // Повреждения и заболевания тазобедренного сустава. - СПб., 1977:116-118.
12. Буачидзе О.Ш. Закрытые переломы вертлужной впадины: дис. докт. мед. наук. - М., 1971:772.
13. Valiyev Erkin Yuldashevich, Yaxyoyev Azim Sadillovovich, Khasanov Zafarali Rayimovich/ Current State of the Problem of Diagnosis and Treatment of Patients with Acetabular Fractures, *American Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences* 2021, 11(2): 88-90
14. E. Yu. Valiev1, A. S Yakhyoev2 The current state of the problem of providing assistance to victims with acetabular injuries in the bukhara region, *European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine*, ISSN 2515-8260 Volume 08, Issue 01, 2021

**Entered 09.09.2021**