New Day in Medicine
Новый День в Медицине
NDM

TIBBIYOTDA YANGI KUN

Ilmiy referativ, marifiy-ma'naviy jurnal

AVICENNA-MED.UZ

ISSN 2181-712X.
EiSSN 2181-2187

10 (72) 2024

ТИББИЁТДА ЯНГИ КУН
НОВЫЙ ДЕНЬ В МЕДИЦИНЕ
NEW DAY IN MEDICINE

Илмий-рефератив, маънавий-маърифий журнал
Научно-реферативный,
духовно-просветительский журнал

УЧРЕДИТЕЛИ:

БУХАРСКИЙ ГОСУДАРСТВЕННЫЙ МЕДИЦИНСКИЙ ИНСТИТУТ
ООО «ТИББИЁТДА ЯНГИ КУН»

Национальный медицинский исследовательский центр хирургии имени А.В. Вишневского является генеральным научно-практическим консультантом редакции

Журнал был включен в список журнальных изданий, рецензируемых Высшей Аттестационной Комиссией Республики Узбекистан (Протокол № 201/03 от 30.12.2013 г.)

10 (72)

2024

октябрь

www.bsmi.uz
https://newdaymedicine.com E: ndmuz@mail.ru
Тел: +99890 8061882

Received: 20.09.2024, Accepted: 02.10.2024, Published: 10.10.2024

UDC 577.29:664

THE ROLE OF WHOLE GENOME SEQUENCING IN TRACKING E. COLI TRANSMISSION IN PUBLIC HEALTH MICROBIOLOGY

[1]Niyozova T.A. https://orcid.org/0009-0004-5467-8543
[2]Kholmurodov Davron Muzaffarovich https://orcid.org/0009-0006-8860-7625

[1]Tashkent Medical Academy (TMA) Uzbekistan, 100109, Tashkent, Almazar district, st. Farobi 2, phone: +99878 1507825, E-mail: info@tma.uz
[2]Queen Mary University of London, The William Harvey Research Institute-Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, Charterhouse square, London

✓ ***Resume***

Purpose: This appraisal focuses on how whole genome sequencing (WGS) influences tracking Escherichia coli (E. coli). It emphasizes its use in pathogen identification outbreak observance and prospective applications in the realm of public health microbiology.

Methodology: The research entailed a critical reading of relevant literature. Furthermore, case studies associated with WGS and E. coli transmission were examined.

Findings: Whole genome sequencing has notably augmented the detection and subsequent tracking of different E. coli strains. It facilitates the precise determination of strain types. Additionally, WGS aids in identifying resistance genes and virulence factors. Previous case studies underscored its utility in identifying and overseeing outbreaks. Such as the case of the outbreak in 2011. Prospective applications of WGS in public health hold promise, despite existing hurdles.

Conclusion: Whole genome sequencing assumes an indispensable role in public health microbiology. It offers insightful data on E. coli transmission. Continued advancements in this field are anticipated. They are likely to bring about further evolutionary changes in outbreak detection surveillance and administration strategies.

Keywords: Genome Sequencing, Escherichia coli Public Health Microbiology, Outbreak Detection Pathogen Surveillance.

РОЛЬ ПОЛНОГО ГЕНОМНОГО СЕКВЕНИРОВАНИЕ В ОТСЛЕЖИВАНИИ ПЕРЕДАЧИ E. COLI В МИКРОБИОЛОГИИ ОБЩЕСТВЕННОГО ЗДРАВООХРАНЕНИЯ

[1]Ниезова Т.А. https://orcid.org/0009-0004-5467-8543
[2]Холмуродов Даврон Музаффарович https://orcid.org/0009-0006-8860-7625

[1]Ташкентская медицинская академия (TMA) Узбекистан, 100109, г. Ташкент, Алмазарский район, ул. Фароби 2, тел.: +99878 1507825, E-mail: info@tma.uz
[2]Лондонский университет Королевы Марии, Факультет медицины и стоматологии Исследовательского института Уильяма Харви, Чартерхаус-сквер, Лондон

✓ ***Резюме***

Цель: Изучение оценку фокусирование на том, как полное геномное секвенирование (ПГС) влияет на отслеживание Escherichia coli (E. coli). А также его использование в идентификации патогенов, наблюдении за вспышками и возможных приложениях в микробиологии общественного здравоохранения.

Методология: Исследование включало критическое оценка имеющей литературы. Кроме того, были изучены тематические исследования, связанные с ПГС и передачей E. coli.

Результаты: По данным исследователей ПГС значительно улучшило обнаружение и последующее отслеживание различных штаммов E. coli. Оно способствует точному определению типов штаммов. Кроме того, ПГС помогает в выявлении генов устойчивости и факторов вирулентности. Предыдущие тематические исследования подчеркнули его

полезность в выявлении и наблюдении за вспышками, такими как вспышка 2011 года. Перспективные приложения ПГС в общественном здравоохранении многообещающие, несмотря на существующие препятствия.

Заключение: Полное геномное секвенирование занимает незаменимую роль в микробиологии общественного здравоохранения. Оно предоставляет ценные данные о передаче E. coli. Ожидается продолжение прогресса в этой области. Они, вероятно, приведут к дальнейшим эволюционным изменениям в обнаружении вспышек, наблюдении и стратегиях управления.

Ключевые слова: Геномное секвенирование, Escherichia coli, микробиология, общественного здравоохранения, обнаружение вспышек, наблюдение за патогенами.

Relevance

Whole genome sequencing (WGS) is a powerful tool in public health microbiology, which has become important lately mainly in tracking pathogens such as Escherichia coli (E. coli) (Tagini and Greub, 2017). This paper will explain why microbial genomics matters, particularly in understanding E. coli transmission, and describe what the study aims to achieve. Over the years, microbial genomics has been increasingly recognized as essential for studying infectious diseases' epidemiology and transmission dynamics (Jenkins, 2015). What sets WGS apart is that it gives unmatched insight into the genetic composition of disease-causing organisms thus revolutionizing our capacity to monitor their distribution.

This report seeks to explore how WGS can be used to understand and track E. coli transmissions. This study seeks to bring out natural reservoir(s) and characteristics of E. coli; explain how genetics together with whole genome sequencing aid in detecting pathogens; demonstrate through case examples the usefulness of WGS in outbreak detection/monitoring; highlight possible future applications as well as discuss limitations/challenges associated with using this technology for microbiological investigations within the context of public health.

Background

Escherichia coli, commonly abbreviated as E. coli -- is a highly adaptable gram-negative organism and is a member of the Enterobacteriaceae family (Salipante *et al.*, 2015). Countless E. coli strains exist that are innocuous or even beneficial. Nonetheless, pathogenic variants can induce sickness in humans. These afflictions might encompass gastroenteritis, urinary tract infections (UTIs), and septicemia, to mention a few (Dallman *et al.*, 2015). Different categories have been suggested. They are based on the specific virulence factors exhibited by harmful E. coli strains and the proposed classifications also consider disease presentations (Dallman *et al.*, 2015). The intention is to distinguish pathogenic strains better. This helps in eventual diagnosis and treatment (Salipante *et al.*, 2015). The diverse E. coli types underscore the bacterium's versatility and potential danger to human health under certain conditions.

Enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC) represents a pathotype inciting gastrointestinal disease. It does this via adhesion and defacement of the intestinal lining (Robins-Browne et al. 2016). Enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ETECs) manufacture toxins, culminating in diarrhoea. Enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli (EHEC) is often associated with severe foodborne diseases. Diseases such as haemolytic-uremic syndrome (HUS). HUS occurs due to Shiga toxin production (Robins-Browne et al., 2016). Uropathogenic Escherichia Coli(UPECs) consistently represent the highest number isolated from patients (Bessonov *et al.*, 2021). These patients globally suffer from urinary tract infections. It is of vital importance to comprehend the various types of bacteria like Escherichia Coli; their distinct manifestations require understanding to diagnose correctly, provide suitable treatment, and construct effective precautions against infections instigated by them (Bessonov *et al.*, 2021). Moreover, the categorization of E. coli strains into distinct pathotypes bolsters epidemiological investigations; they also support outbreak management efforts (Skurnik *et al.*, 2016). This allows public health authorities to execute targeted control actions. Such measures are necessary to attenuate the dissemination of disease.

Epidemiology

The organism Escherichia coli or E. coli, is a ubiquitous bacterium. It commonly resides within the intestines of both human beings and warm-blooded creatures. In these circumstances, it plays a

significant role in the composition of gut microbiota (Skurnik et al., 2016). Regarding E. coli strains, vast majority are harmless commensals. However, some strains are indeed pathogenic. These particular strains have evolved unique mechanisms. They cause disease in humans (Gao *et al.*, 2024). These pathogenic variants often possess virulence factors. Shiga toxins and adhesion proteins are examples. Such proteins enable these bacteria to colonize and cause infections in the host. Transmission of pathogenic E. coli can occur through diverse channels. Contaminated food or water is a typical vehicle for transmission (Gao *et al.*, 2024).

Insufficiently cooked meat, raw vegetables, and untreated dairy goods often lead to infection. Not to mention interaction with diseased animals is another factor, with livestock being the primary culprits. Pathogenic E. coli strains can indeed transfer from such animals to humans (Hecht and Manning, 2020). Environments, including healthcare facilities, pose yet another risk. This is also the case for households. Within these settings, E. coli infections can transfer from person to person (Gao et al., 2024). It emphasizes the demand for infection control measures. Equally, it urges the importance of stringent hygiene protocols. Comprehending the epidemiology of pathogenic E. coli is crucial for instating effective controls. It is also necessary for outbreak prevention. Endeavors focused on monitoring the prevalence and dispersion of pathogenic E. coli strains are crucial. These activities assist in identifying budding threats but can also inform public health interventions.

Role of WGS

Classical approaches for the categorization and characterization of E. coli strains exhibit constraints. Such methods include serotyping and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). They face impediments in dissociating strain diversity (Bonvegna *et al.* 2022). Also, these methods struggle to precisely delineate transmission pathways. On the other hand, WGS provides an exhaustive perspective of the entire bacterial genome (Lau *et al.*, 2021). It allows for high-precision strain characterization. Also, it facilitates an extensive investigation of genetic markers (Lau *et al.*, 2021). These markers are correlated with virulence, antimicrobial resistance, and pathogen transmission. While comparing the genomic sequences of differing E. coli isolates, researchers can make crucial discoveries. They can identify related strains (Bonvegna *et al.* 2022). Additionally, they can map out the spread of outbreaks. Furthermore, scientists can shed light on the evolutionary dynamics within pathogen populations.

The Role of Genetics and WGS in Detecting E. coli

Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS) instigates a revolution in detection methodologies for Escherichia coli (E. coli) by meticulously deciphering the organism's comprehensive genetic architecture (Yasir *et al.*, 2020). This panorama of genomics yields an abundant trove of genetic findings, spanning nucleotide sequences and gene content to structural fluctuations. When focused on E. coli WGS becomes crucial (Yasir *et al.*, 2020). It identifies genetic markers indicating pathogenicity, antimicrobial resistance, and other traits of clinical significance. A primary advantage inherent to WGS is its capacity to anatomize E. coli strains and it classifies these into phylogenetic groups and subtypes with remarkable precision (Miles-Jay *et al.*, 2021). Comparing the genomic data of diverse isolates facilitates the discernment of understated genetic variances. These set apart one strain from another and the granularity level is especially valuable (Miles-Jay *et al.*, 2021). The fields of epidemiological investigations and outbreak response efforts can benefit greatly from it. Accurate strain typing becomes essential here, which helps trace transmission pathways, and targeted control measures are thus implemented (Singh *et al.*, 2019). Through this process WGS's ability to quickly and accurately type strains confirms its invaluable contribution.

Indeed, WGS proffers superior resolution when juxtaposed with conventional typing methodologies. The identification of genetic markers relevant to pathogenicity, antimicrobial resistance, and other clinically pertinent traits is thus enabled (Rusconi *et al.*, 2016). Through precise analysis of genomic data, researchers classify E. coli strains. This classification distinguishes phylogenetic groups and subtypes (Rusconi *et al.*, 2016). This aids in epidemiological investigations. It also aids in outbreak response initiatives. Furthermore, WGS assists in detecting antimicrobial-resistant genes. Virulence within E. coli genomes can be further determined by this approach (Singh et al. 2019). This essential discernment aids antimicrobial stewardship campaigns. It guides the selection of fitting antibiotic therapies (Singh et al., 2019). Importantly, this method also assists in observing the rise of drug-resistant strains. In essence, the role of genetics and WGS in E. coli detection holds a multifarious significance and is indispensable. It is vital to the field of contemporary public health microbiology. Exploiting the abundance of genetic data furnished by Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS), scholars and practitioners in public health attain novel insights (Rusconi et al., 2016). Such insights

encompass the epidemiology transmission dynamics and virulence traits of E. coli strains. Interestingly, the information gathered from WGS is vast. It empowers academia and those involved in public health services with unique knowledge domains (Rusconi et al. 2016). This knowledge range embodies the epidemiological patterns, the interplay of transmission, and harmful features inherent in E. coli strains. It thus enhances preventive and control measures concerning diseases related to E. coli.

Genomic sequencing of the entire set, or whole genome sequencing (WGS), assumes a critical role. It aids in identifying strains of the bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli). (Köser *et al.,* 2012). It characterizes them as delivering exceptional resolution compared to traditional typing methodologies. By analyzing the holistic genome of E. coli isolates, WGS allows for meticulous identification (Köser *et al.,* 2012). This enhances the understanding of the genetic composition and potential virulence of strains. Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analysis and whole-genome multilocus sequence typing (wgMLST) are techniques typically utilized within WGS for strain discernment and identification (Jenkins, Dallman, and Grant, 2019). SNP analysis identifies genetic variations. These occur at single nucleotide positions across the genome. This affords high discriminatory power and aids in distinguishing closely related isolates (Jenkins, Dallman, and Grant, 2019). On the other hand, wgMLST contrasts the sequences of numerous loci across the holistic genome. This provides an archetypal assessment of genetic relatedness. It aids in delineating transmission networks.

Moreover, WGS engenders the unmasking of antimicrobial resistance genes and virulence determinants within E. coli genomes (Jenkins, Dallman, and Grant, 2019). The genetic content of isolates, when thoroughly analyzed, allows researchers to pinpoint genes implicated in antimicrobial resistance. This significantly fuels antimicrobial stewardship initiatives (Köser *et al.,* 2012). It further guides appropriate treatment strategy selection. Virulence determinant detection additionally deepens our grasp of the pathogenic underpinnings of E. coli strains (Ogura *et al.,* 2006). It guides the drafting of focused intervention strategies. These substantially alleviate disease incidence. The overall influence of genetics and WGS in E. coli detection is undeniable. It avails unsurpassed resolution. At the same time, it delves into the genetic diversity and virulence characteristics intrinsic to this pathogen (Ogura *et al.,* 2006). Utilizing the bounty of genetic details availed by WGS researchers and public health professionals can boost their capacity to pinpoint, characterize, and manage E. coli infections (Ogura *et al.,* 2006). This ultimately leads to the enhancement of patient prognosis. It also protects public health.

WGS in Outbreak Detection and Surveillance

Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS) has instigated a radical transformation. Particularly evident in the landscape of outbreak detection. The surveillance of Escherichia coli (E. coli) infections has been significantly altered. Multiple case studies exemplify the advantage of this method in varying outbreak settings. The E. coli O104:H4 outbreak in Germany in 2011 serves as a significant example (Holmes *et al.,* 2018). WGS performed a pivotal function in promptly identifying the responsible strain. With this information, public health authorities could initiate interventions promptly. Additionally, they traced the outbreak source (Rasko *et al.,* 2008). Likewise, WGS has indicated its worth in scrutinizing outbreaks with a link to food products tainted with bacteria. It excelled in revealing healthcare-related infections and community transmission occurrences (Holmes *et al.,* 2018). These distinct case studies accent the pliability and dependability of WGS in outbreak detection. In addition, they showcase its surveillance capabilities, and the potential of WGS to reshape public health responses to E. coli outbreaks becomes evident.

During outbreak inquiries, WGS proves to be a potent instrument for juxtaposing genomic profiles of E. coli isolates (Joensen *et al.,* 2015). Such isolates emanate from clinical instances, environmental samples, and reference strains. Genetic variations are analyzed (Joensen *et al.,* 2015). Phylogenetic trees get constructed. Consequently, scholars can illuminate the relatedness of varying isolates and intuit transmission pathways. This methodology facilitates the discernment of shared infection sources (Joensen *et al.,* 2015). Moreover, it allows for the cartography of geographic diffusion and the chronicle of pathogen evolution across time. Besides real-time genomic surveillance systems utilize WGS data to pinpoint burgeoning threats swiftly (Miles-Jay *et al.,* 2021). This rapid detection empowers the execution of finely tuned control strategies to prevent additional transmission.

In sum, WGS has radically changed the domain of outbreak identification and E. coli infection surveillance. It provides swift, precise insights into the genetic epidemiology of said pathogen (Miles-Jay *et*

al., 2021). This technology has the power to identify sources of outbreaks. It traces pathways of transmission. Its role has led to revolutionary changes in public health responses to E. coli outbreaks (Reuter *et al.*, 2013). The approach paves the way for increasingly effective strategies in mitigating public health impacts. With continued advancements in WGS technology, accessibility is set to improve. Its role in outbreak detection and surveillance continues to expand (Reuter *et al.*, 2013). This further bolsters our capacity for the prevention and control of E. coli outbreaks.

Future Applications of WGS

Evolutions in whole genome sequencing (WGS) technology stand on the cusp of catalyzing a paradigm shift in public health microbiology (Holmes *et al.*, 2015). They present novel pathways for disease surveillance diagnosis and control. Platforms centered on next-generation sequencing (NGS) embody a monumental advancement (Holmes *et al.*, 2015). They boast an elevated throughput and decreased turnaround time. This reality thrusts WGS into the realm of regular use.

The evolutions we have seen are paving the way for swift sequencing of many bacterial genomes (Wang *et al.*, 2016). It allows for real-time monitoring of infectious disease outbreaks and it facilitates the timely institution of public health measures (Tagini and Greub, 2017). Consolidating machine learning algorithms with WGS data augments our understanding of disease dynamics. It deepens our understanding of the patterns of disease transmission (Wang *et al.*, 2016). By scrutinizing genomic sequences alongside clinical and epidemiological metadata, researchers can reveal complex correlations (Tagini and Greub, 2017). These correlations exist between microbial genotypes, host factors, and the interplay of environmental factors (Tagini and Greub, 2017). Taking this holistic view offers an all-encompassing framework for tracking infectious diseases. It identifies high-risk population segments. It's also instrumental in forecasting future outbreaks. Apart from its role in outbreak surveillance WGS also revolutionizes infectious disease diagnosis and cure. Leveraging the rich genetic data provided by WGS, healthcare providers can pinpoint pathogens (Holmes *et al.*, 2015). They can forecast antimicrobial-resistant patterns. Furthermore, they can customize treatment strategies for every patient. This precision medicine method does not only enhance patient outcomes (Holmes *et al.*, 2015). It also aids in counteracting the accelerating spread of antimicrobial resistance, a rising menace to global public well-being.

WGS has a wide range of potential uses in public health microbiology in the future. Continued technological advances join forces with the amalgamation of genomic data clinical and epidemiological information (Kwong *et al.*, 2015). This promises a transformation in our ability to detect, track, and hold in check infectious maladies. As WGS grows more obtainable and cost-efficient, its bearing on public health vigilance and disease management is anticipated to amplify (Kwong *et al.*, 2015). Growth will foster the advancement of progressively effective strategies. These are aimed at preventing and curbing infectious disease outbreaks. Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS) has been broadly adopted. It's been utilized in both clinical and public health laboratories (Wang et al. 2024). Set to redefine the landscape. Specifically, the landscape of infectious disease diagnosis and regulation.

It empowers healthcare practitioners (Wang *et al.*, 2024). They can bolster their diagnostic acumen for infections by leveraging genomic data. Additionally, they can adapt treatment methodologies to align with individual patients' unique needs. WGS makes it possible to identify particular infectious pathogens and their genetic characteristics, which makes customized, targeted treatments possible (Wang et al., 2024). This genomic data pool, for example, can be used to predict trends in antibiotic resistance. As a result, medical professionals can choose appropriate antibiotic treatments with skill.

This optimized approach underpins improved patient results. In the context of population, dynamic programs for genomic surveillance contribute to the early identification of outbreaks. The programs also enable pinpointing of transmission high-activity zones (Wang *et al.*, 2024). The continuous observation of genomic sequences allows public health entities to promptly identify rising threats (Vanstokstraeten *et al.*, 2023). Subsequently, they can implement interventions promptly, this is done to inhibit further dissemination. Additionally, genomic surveillance provides an avenue for observing trends in antimicrobial resistance. This observation yields a significant understanding of the evolutionary path of drug-resistant pathogens (Vanstokstraeten *et al.*, 2023). Further knowledge is also garnered regarding informing practices and interventions of public health that target the reduction of antimicrobial resistance.

Challenges and Limitations

Future implementations of whole genome sequencing (WGS) in public health microbiology show immense potential. As sequencing technologies drive forward, WGS is poised to become increasingly

accessible (Rangel *et al.*, 2005). This makes it apt for routine surveillance and diagnostic endeavours. One anticipated application is its incorporation into routine clinical practice. Here, it can be deployed for fast and precise diagnosis of infectious diseases (Rangel *et al.*, 2005). Sequencing the genomes of pathogens directly from clinical samples is possible with WGS. Healthcare providers can identify the disease-causing agent (Vanstokstraeten *et al.*, 2023). They can also predict patterns of antimicrobial resistance and this knowledge guides suitable treatment choices. Additionally, WGS assists in detecting emerging pathogens. It also spots novel resistance mechanisms that allow for anticipatory steps to curb and control outbreaks of infectious diseases (Rangel *et al.*, 2005). Moreover, WGS data can be fused with epidemiological and clinical metadata (Rangel *et al.*, 2005). This provides a comprehensive comprehension of disease transmission dynamics. Furthermore, it can inform public health policies and drive interventions.

The pervasive application of WGS engenders ethical contemplations tied to data privacy, informed consent, and data dissemination. Genomic data represent inherently sensitive entities (Reid *et al.*, 2020). They can disclose information related to individual entities, entire populations, and also microbial communities. Thus, it becomes imperative to establish strong data governance structures. Adequate security measures should be in place, as well (Reid *et al.*, 2020). These steps are required to safeguard patient anonymity and prevent unauthorized access or inappropriate utilization of genomic data. Moreover, the assurance of informed consent from entities whose data undergo sequencing is pivotal. This is essential for maintaining high ethical standards and acknowledging individual autonomy (Rusconi *et al.*, 2016). Yet procuring consent for the employment of genomic data in the realm of public health monitoring may need to be improved. This is due to the intricacy inherent in such technology (Rusconi *et al.*, 2016). Also, potential implications for privacy and discrimination are crucial factors.

Conclusion

WGS has overhauled its capacity to monitor the dissemination of E. coli and other pathogens in public health microbiology. We gain detailed insights into genetic diversity through WGS. It deepens understanding of epidemiology and evolution of microbial populations as well. WGS bolsters comprehension of disease dynamics. It aids in developing evidence-based interventions to curb and control infectious diseases. Despite challenges and limitations associated with implementation optimism for the future remains high. The advancement of sequencing technologies continues. Concurrently, bioinformatics tools progress as well. These hold promise for the future. The future is foreseen with genomic surveillance and personalized medicine.

LIST OF REFERENCES:

1. Bessonov, K. *et al.* (2021) “ECTyper: in silico Escherichia coli serotype and species prediction from raw and assembled whole-genome sequence data,” Microbial genomics, 2021;7(12). doi: 10.1099/mgen.0.000728.
2. Bonvegna, M. *et al.* (2022) “Whole genome sequencing (WGS) analysis of virulence and AMR genes in extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL)-producing Escherichia coli from animal and environmental samples in four Italian swine farms,” Antibiotics (Basel, Switzerland), 2022;11(12):1774. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics11121774.
3. Dallman, T. J. *et al.* (2015) “Whole-genome sequencing for national surveillance of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli O157,” Clinical infectious diseases: an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America, 2015;61(3):305-312. doi: 10.1093/cid/civ318.
4. Gao, H. *et al.* (2024) “Recent advances in genome-scale engineering in Escherichia coli and their applications,” Engineering Microbiology, 2024;4(1):100-115. doi: 10.1016/j.engmic.2023.100115.
5. Hecht, A. and Manning, S. (2020) Escherichia coli infections. 3rd ed. Chelsea House Publications.
6. Holmes, A. *et al.* (2015) “Utility of whole-genome sequencing of Escherichia coli O157 for outbreak detection and epidemiological surveillance,” Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 2015;53(11):3565-3573. doi: 10.1128/jcm.01066-15.
7. Holmes, A. *et al.* (2018) “Validation of whole-genome sequencing for identification and characterization of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli to produce standardized data to enable data sharing,” Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 2018;56(3) doi: 10.1128/JCM.01388-17.
8. Jenkins, C. (2015) “Whole-genome sequencing data for serotyping Escherichia coli-it’s time for a change!” Journal of Clinical Microbiology 2015;53(8):2402-2403. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01448-15.
9. Jenkins, C., Dallman, T. J. and Grant, K. A. (2019) “Impact of whole genome sequencing on the investigation of food-borne outbreaks of Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli serogroup O157:H7, England, 2013 to 2017,” Euro surveillance : bulletin Europeen sur les maladies transmissibles *[Euro*

surveillance : European communicable disease bulletin], 2019;24(4). doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2019.24.4.1800346.
10. Joensen K. G. *et al.* (2015) "Rapid and easy in silico serotyping of Escherichia coli isolates by use of whole-genome sequencing data," Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 2015;53(8):2410-2426. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00008-15.
11. Köser, C. U. *et al.* (2012) "Routine use of microbial whole genome sequencing in diagnostic and public health microbiology," PLoS Pathogens, 2012;8(8):e1002824. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002824.
12. Kwong J. C. *et al.* (2015) "Whole genome sequencing in clinical and public health microbiology," *Pathology*, 2015;47(3):199-210. doi: 10.1097/pat.0000000000000235.
13. Lau, K. A. *et al.* (2021) "Proficiency testing for bacterial whole genome sequencing in assuring the quality of microbiology diagnostics in clinical and public health laboratories," Pathology, 2021;53(7):902-911. doi: 10.1016/j.pathol.2021.03.012.
14. Miles-Jay, A. *et al.* (2021) "Whole genome sequencing detects minimal clustering among Escherichia coli sequence type 131-H30 isolates collected from United States children's hospitals," Journal of the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society, 2021:10(2):183-187. doi: 10.1093/jpids/piaa023.
15. Ogura Y. *et al.* (2006) "Complexity of the genomic diversity in enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli O157 revealed by the combinational use of the O157 Sakai OligoDNA microarray and the Whole Genome PCR scanning," DNA research: an international journal for rapid publication of reports on genes and genomes, 2006;13(1):3-14. doi: 10.1093/dnares/dsi026.
16. Rangel, J. M. *et al.* (2005) "Epidemiology of*Escherichia coli*O157:H7 outbreaks, United States, 1982–2002," Emerging infectious diseases, 2005;11(4):603-609. doi: 10.3201/eid1104.040739.
17. Rasko D. A. *et al.* (2008) "The pangenome structure of Escherichia coli: comparative genomic analysis of E. coli commensal and pathogenic isolates," Journal of bacteriology, 2008;190(20):6881-6893. doi: 10.1128/JB.00619-08.
18. Reid, C. J. *et al.* (2020) "Whole genome sequencing of Escherichia coli from store-bought produce," Frontiers in microbiology, 10. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.03050.
19. Reuter, S. *et al.* (2013) "Rapid bacterial whole-genome sequencing to enhance diagnostic and public health microbiology," JAMA Internal Medicine, 2013;173(15):1397. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.7734.
20. Robins-Browne, R. M. *et al.* (2016) "Are Escherichia coli pathotypes still relevant in the era of whole-genome sequencing?," Frontiers in cellular and infection microbiology, 2016;6:141. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2016.00141.
21. Rusconi, B. *et al.* (2016) "Whole genome sequencing for genomics-guided investigations of Escherichia coli O157:H7 outbreaks," Frontiers in Microbiology, 2016;7:985. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00985.
22. Salipante, S. J. *et al.* (2015) "Large-scale genomic sequencing of extraintestinal pathogenic Escherichia coli strains," Genome Research, 2015;25(1):119-128. doi: 10.1101/gr.180190.114.
23. Singh, N. *et al.* (2019) "Whole-genome single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analysis applied directly to stool for genotyping Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli: An advanced molecular detection method for foodborne disease surveillance and outbreak tracking," Journal of clinical microbiology, 2019;57(7). doi: 10.1128/JCM.00307-19.
24. Skurnik, D. *et al.* (2016) "Emergence of antimicrobial-resistant Escherichia coli of animal origin spreading in humans," Molecular biology and evolution, 2016;33(4):898-914. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msv280.
25. Tagini, F. and Greub, G. (2017) "Bacterial genome sequencing in clinical microbiology: a pathogen-oriented review," European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases: official publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology, 2017;36(11):2007-2020. doi: 10.1007/s10096-017-3024-6.
26. Vanstokstraeten, R. *et al.* (2023) "Genotypic resistance determined by whole genome sequencing versus phenotypic resistance in 234 Escherichia coli isolates," Scientific Reports, 2023;13(1): doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-27723-z.
27. Wang, Q. *et al.* (2024) "Whole-genome sequencing of Escherichia coli from retail meat in China reveals the dissemination of clinically important antimicrobial resistance genes," International Journal of food microbiology, 2024;415(110634):110-634. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2024.110634.
28. Wang, S. *et al.* (2016) "Food safety trends: From the globalization of whole genome sequencing to the application of new tools to prevent foodborne diseases," Trends in food science & technology, 2016;57:188-198. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2016.09.016.
29. Yasir, M. *et al.* (2020) "Genomic and antimicrobial resistance genes diversity in multidrug-resistant CTX-M-positive isolates of Escherichia coli at a health care facility in Jeddah," Journal of Infection and Public Health, 2020;13(1):94-100. doi: 10.1016/j.jiph.2019.06.011.

Entered 20.09.2024